



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,914	09/26/2001	Timothy E. Wood	13768.203	9417
47973	7590 08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			HUYNH, THU V	
	OUTH TEMPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE	ECITY, UT 84111	2178		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6		•				
7		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/963,914	WOOD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thu V. Huynh	2178			
Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION as of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rejor for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturation received by the Office later than three months after the mail atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for te, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>					
·	<u>'</u>					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims		•			
4a 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	aim(s) <u>1-4,7-9,11,16,18,21 and 23-25</u> is/ard) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-4,7-9,11,16,18,21 and 23-25</u> is/ard aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. e rejected.				
Application	Papers					
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examire drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2001 is eplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the E	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objection of bjection of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Station is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)□ . 1.l 2.l 3.l	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documer Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate ority documents have been received in Applicate (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No sived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
2)	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 O(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				
S. Patent and Trader	nark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/963,914 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: amendment filed on 02/18/2005 to application filed on 09/26/2001.

- 2. Claims 1-4, 7, 11 and 21 are amended.
- 3. Claims 23-25 are added.
- 4. Claims 14 and 22 are canceled.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 11, 16, 18, 21 and 23-25 are pending in the case. Claim 1 is independent claim.
- 6. The rejections of claims 2-4 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter, have been withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Claim Objections

7. Claims 11 and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding dependent claims 11 and 21, "comprising one or computer-readable media" has typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

Examiner assumes that "comprising one or <u>more</u> computer-readable media" is used in claims 11 and 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2178

9. Claims 11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Page 3

Regarding independent claims 11 and 21, the claim language of "one or <u>more</u> computer-readable media having computer-executable instructions for implementing the method of claim 1, the computer-readable medium comprising one or more physical storage media", are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of Applicant's disclosure, specification pages 9-10, paragraph [0029], the media is not limited to tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments (e.g., "RAM, ROM, EEPROM, CD-ROM") and intangible embodiments (e.g., "computer-readable media can be any available media"). As such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is therefore non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - (b) This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1-3, 7-8, 11, 18, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable

 Over <u>Gao</u> et al., US 2002/0032701 A1, priority filed 09/2000, in view of <u>JS-Examples-353</u>,

 "Open Bigger Picture", http://www.js-examples.com/javascript/?id=353, published

Art Unit: 2178

06/2000, pages 1-15, <u>JS-Examples-354</u>, "Open New Windows", http://www.js-examples.com/javascript/?id=354, published 04/2000, pages 1-7, <u>Holbrook</u> et al., US 2002/0152222 A1, provisional filed 11/2000, and Yehuda <u>Shiran</u>, "Scriplet Authoring", http://www.webreference.com/js/tips/991222.html, published 12/1999, pages 1-2.

Regarding independent claim 1, Gao teaches the steps of:

- retrieving the document from the server computer system (Gao, [0032], [0046]; retrieving the requested HTML document from a server to a client);
- displaying the document on a screen (Gao, [0046]);
- detecting a reference in the document to computer-executable instructions not included in the document (Gao, [0046]; detecting a script tag in the HTML document that references to a Script source);
- retrieving the computer-executable instructions (Gao, [0048]; retrieving the Script program from the server);
- executing the computer-executable instructions to generate a script (Gao, [0048],
 server generating appropriate JavaScript code);
- detecting an event comprising movement of a cursor within certain boundaries to a specific region of the screen (Gao, page 3, [0034]; detecting a pointer or mouse over a designated text element in the HTML document, causing a text box to appear).

However, Gao does not explicitly disclose the script is a scriptlet; while retrieving the computer-executable instructions, retrieving event-based content to overlay the document on the screen when a cursor moves to a defined region on the screen; using a HTML handler to detect an event; in response to detecting the event, using the HTML handler to pass a parameter to the

scriptlet, informing the scriptlet of the event; using the scriptlet to map the event to content that is to be retrieved; using the scriptlet to retrieve the content in response to being informed of the event; using the scriptlet to overlay the content over the displayed document after retrieving the content, wherein the content is displayed in a new window overlaying the displayed document and in such a way that the content is displayed even when the document is using frames, a dropdown list, ActiveX controls or custom controls.

JS-Examples-353 teaches displaying a web page with images, such as http://www.jsexample.com/js/pic1.gif, http://www.js-example.com/js/pic2.gif, (JS-Examples-353, pages 1, 5-9); clicking one of the image, causing a popup window overlay on the displayed page (JS-Examples-353, pages 8-15) via javascript code (JS-Examples-353, pages 2-4), comprising the steps of:

- retrieving and displaying a web page document, wherein the web page contains images (JS-Examples-353; pages 1, 5-9);
- a script program is used to retrieve event-based content to overlay the document on the screen when a cursor clicks to a defined region on the screen (JS-Examples-353; pages 1-4; script program used to retrieve event-based content http://www.jsexamples.com/js/pic3.gif' and "http://www.js-examples.com/js/pic4.gif' into "bigPic[1]" and "bigPic[2]" (see page 4) to overlay a popup window on the web page when clicking of the cursor within boundaries to specific image on the web page (see page 8-15);
- using a HTML handler to detect an event comprising clicking of the cursor within certain boundaries to a specific region of the screen (JS-Examples-353; pages 1, 4, 8-

15; when clicking of the cursor within boundaries to specific image on the web page, a popup window is displayed over the web page);

- in response to detecting the event, using the HTML handler to pass a parameter to the script, informing the script of the event (JS-Examples-353; page 4, 8-15; when one of the images is clicked, the script function showBig(n) is executed);
- using the script to map the event to content that is to be retrieved (JS-Examples-353; page 4; when one of the images is clicked, for example, image "http://www.js-example.com/js/pic1.gif" is click, mapping the event showBig(1) to function showBig(_n) so that a corresponding image "http://:www.js-examples.com/js/pic3.gif" from bigPic[1] to be retrieved);
- using the script to retrieve the content in response to being informed of the event (JS-Examples-353; pages 1, 4, 8-15; using the script function to retrieve the corresponding image "http//:www.js-examples.com/js/pic3.gif" from bigPic[1] in function openIt (bigPic[_n])); and
- using the script to overlay the content over the displayed document after retrieving the content (JS-Examples-353; pages 1, 4, 8-15; using the script function to display the corresponding image "http://:www.js-examples.com/js/pic3.gif" from bigPic[1] in a popup window over the displayed document).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined JS-Examples_353 into Gao to retrieve the script and the event-based content when a cursor moves to a defined region on the web page so that text box or

Art Unit: 2178

a popup window is displayed when the cursor clicks or moves over an image or designated element as Gao disclosed in pargraph [0034].

JS-Examples does not explicitly teach a mouse moves over event.

JS-Examples-354 teaches a popup window is displayed when a cursor moves over, moves out or clicks on a hyperlink (JS-Examples-354, pages 1-7, using "onmouseover", "onmouseout" HTML handlers).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined JS-Examples-354 into JS-Examples-353 and Gao to retrieve the event-based content when a cursor moves to a defined region on the web page in the script program so that a popup window is displayed when the cursor clicks, moves out, or moves over a hyperlink or image, since the combination would have provided different events to display the popup window.

However, JS-Examples-354 does not explicitly disclose that the content is displayed even when the document is using frames, a drop-down list, ActiveX controls or custom controls.

Holbrook teaches help or any desired information are displayed in a popup window in response to a mouse over a defined element in a web page to help the user understands more about the element, wherein the web page having frames (Holbrook, [0073]-[0076], [0079], figures 3-4; displaying a popup window 405 when a mouse moves over "Shopping" category 406).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Holbrook's teaching into JS-Examples-353, JS-Examples-

354 and Gao, since the combination would have displayed the popup window even when the web page is or is not using frames.

Shiran teaches, "scriptlet is an independent script that is reference from an HTML page to describe the behavior of an object and the event it is triggered by" as Shiran disclosed in page 1, first paragraph.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Shiran's scriptlet into Gao, Homer and JS-Examples, since the combination would have provided many kind of scripts to provide popup windows when an event is triggered.

Regarding claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, Gao teaches retrieving document from the server computer system comprises the following: a specific act of retrieving a HyperText Markup Language (HTML) document from the server computer system (Gao, page 3, paragraph 32; page 4, paragraph 46).

Regarding claim 3, which is dependent on claim 2. Gao teaches wherein detecting a reference in the document to computer-executable instructions not included in the document comprises the following: a specific act of the detecting a SCRIPT tag in the HTML document (Gao, page 4, paragraph 46).

Regarding claim 7, which is dependent on claim 1. Gao discloses wherein the retrieving content in response to the event comprises the following: a specific act of retrieving update

Art Unit: 2178

information related to the area of the displayable form of the document over which the pointer has moved (Gao, page 3, paragraph 34; page 5; paragraph 50). Gao does not explicitly disclose retrieving help information.

Holbrook teaches help or any desired information are displayed in a popup window in response to a mouse over a defined element/field in a web page to help the user understands more about the field/element (Holbrook, [0079]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Holbrook and Gao to provide help, update or desired information that related to the elements in the web page, since the combination would have provided more information about the elements on primary web pages without cluttering such web pages.

Regarding claim 8, which is dependent on claim 1, Gao teaches wherein retrieving the computer-executable instructions comprises the following: a specific act of retrieving one or more data structure that represent at least some of the content (Gao, page 3, paragraph 34; page 5; paragraph 50).

Claim 11 is for a computer readable media performing the method of claim 1, and is rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claim 18, which is dependent on claim 1, the limitation of "wherein the HTML handler is an OnMouseOver handler" is addressed. The rationale is incorporated herein.

Regarding claim 25, which is dependent on claim 1, the limitation of "wherein detecting the event comprising movement of the cursor within certain boundaries to a specific region includes detecting movement of the cursor to a region of the screen without detecting movement of the cursor selecting a text element" is addressed and specifically taught by JS-Examples-354, wherein the cursor moves over a hyperlink. The rationale is incorporated herein.

12. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gao in view of JS-Examples-353</u>, <u>JS-Examples-354</u>, <u>Holbrook and Shiran</u> as applied to claims 1 above and further in view of <u>JS-Examples-503</u>, "DHTML Popup for NS6 and IE5", http://www.js-examples.com/javascript/?id=503, published 03/2001, pages 1-8.

Regarding claim 24, which is dependent on claim 1, Gao does not explicitly disclose the specific region is a field.

JS-Examples-503 teaches displaying a popup window when the cursor moves over a specific region on a web page, wherein the specific region is a field (JS-Examples-503, pages 1-8, displaying popup windows with "message1" and "message2" when a cursor moves over entry text field "txt1" and "txt2" respectively (see page 4)).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined JS-Examples-503 into JS-Examples-353, JS-Examples-354, Holbrook and Gao, since the combination would have provided a popup window with help information when the cursor moves over different objects, such as hyperlink, image, icon, or an entry text field.

Claims 4, 9, 16, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gao in view of JS-Examples-353, JS-Examples-354, Holbrook and Shiran as applied to claims 1 and 8 above and further in view of Hunt et al., US 2004/0133848 A1, provisional filed 04/2000.

Regarding claim 4, which is dependent on claim 1. The combination of Gao, Homer and JS-Examples teaches wherein retrieving the computer-executable instructions comprises the following: a specific act of retrieving computer-executable instructions that operate the overly a window over the displayable form of the document, as explained in claim 1 above. The rationale is incorporated herein.

However Gao does not explicitly disclose overlaying a window over the document even if other windows or frames already overly the displayable form of the document.

Hunt teaches, "a popup window may be closed automatically or it may require the user to explicitly close it" (Hunt, page 22, paragraph 343).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Hunt popup window's modes into Gao to overlaying a window over the document during other windows already overly the displayable form of the document, since the combination would have provided options for display popup windows and the user controls (closes) what popup window as the user wants/needs.

Regarding claim 9, which is dependent on claim 8, Gao does not explicitly teaches wherein the retrieving one or more data structure comprises the following: retrieving one or more

data structures that are structured in accordance with the eXtensible Markup Language (XML) format.

Hunt teaches method for providing and displaying information (Hunt's title), using Script to provide popup information for the user in HTML or XML content (Hunt, page 6, paragraph 86; page14, paragraph 177; page 22, paragraph 343).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Hunt's XML content into Gao to provide data in XML format, since the combination would have provided both XML or HTML content to be displayed in popup windows for the user.

Regarding claim 16, which is dependent on claim 1, Gao does not explicitly disclose displaying a first window over a portion of the document and displaying a second window over the document during the specific act of displaying the first window over the document.

Hunt teaches, "a popup window may be closed automatically or it may require the user to explicitly close it" (Hunt, page 22, paragraph 343).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Hunt popup window's modes into Gao to overlaying a window over the document during other windows already overly the displayable form of the document, since the combination would have provided options for display popup windows and the user controls (closes) what popup window as the user wants/needs.

Art Unit: 2178

Claim 21 is for a computer readable media performing the method of claim 16, and is rejected under the same rationale.

14. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gao in view of JS-Examples-353</u>, <u>JS-Examples-354</u>, <u>Holbrook and Shiran</u> as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of <u>McCann</u> et al., US. 5,963,939, filed 09/1997.

Regarding claim 23, which is dependent on claim 1. Gao does not teach the content is displayed in an ActiveX window.

McCann teaches a popup window may be an ActiveX control window (McCann, col.65, lines 23-33, fig. 51).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined McCann's ActiveX control popup window into Gao and JS-Examples to provide different formats for a popup window, since the combination would have provided an HTML or ActiveX popup window for the user.

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 7-9, 11, 16, 18, 21 and 23-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants argue that cited references fail to disclose or suggest that "the content is downloaded when the computer-executable instructions are downloaded, and particularly when the content is displayed overlaying a displayed document, event when the document is using frames, a drop-down list, ActiveX controls or custom controls".

Art Unit: 2178

However, the combination of Gao, JS-Examples-353, JS-Examples-354, Hobrook and Shiran teaches such limitations as explained in the rejection above.

Applicants argue that cited references fail to disclose or suggest, "detecting a movement of a cursor to a particular region of the screen, particularly a region other than text, such as a field".

However, JS-Examples-503 teaches such limitation as explained in the rejection above.

Applicants argue that "[t]he cited disclosure also fails to disclose or suggest that the new content is displayed in an ActiveX window".

However, McCann suggests the popup window is an ActiveX control window as explained in the rejection above.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Foulkes et al., US 6,519,643 B1, filed 04/1999, teaches method for a session allocation.

Bruce, http://www.uea.ac.uk/~k045/interactive/javascript/popup.html, pages 1-2,
copyright 01/1999.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu V Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,914 Page 15

Art Unit: 2178

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TVH August 18, 2005

Delian & Barbare
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
8/21/2005